



# Ģ

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,263	04/23/2001	Hiroshi Kitada	202308US2X	1527
22850 7.	590 02/12/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			NGUYEN, VAN H	
•• ••	0 DUKE STREET EXANDRIA, VA 22314		' ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2126	10
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	, 12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

82

		\\ \\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/839,263	KITADA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	VAN H NGUYEN	2126
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 23 April 22 This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day in apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed oril 2001.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the correction of the correc	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application in Appli	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.	/ / 5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

- Application/Control Number: 09/839,263

Art Unit: 2126

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the application filed April 23, 2001. Claims 1-55 are presented for examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Navarre et al. (U.S. 6, 442,611 B1).
- 4. **As to claim 1,** Navarre teaches (abstract) the invention substantially as claimed including a method for managing, applications service provider transactions (*transactions*) between an ASP (*server application*) and an ASP user (*a client application*), comprising:

receiving an ASP transaction request from the user via a communications network (receiving a request from a client application...the transmission from the client 210 is a request for information...in the form of an HTPP request; col.2, line 66-col.3, line 29 and fig. 3);

Application/Control Number: 09/839,263

Art Unit: 2126

transmitting instructions for performing the transaction request, via the communications network, to the selected ASP (the set of data access transactions are transmitted to the respective server applications; col. 3, lines 30-45).

Navarre does not explicitly teach "selecting an ASP for processing the transaction request."

Navarre, however, teaches automatically identifying a set of data access transactions corresponding to the request, each data access transaction being associated with a respective server application (col.2, lines 50-65) and after the request is received, a set of data access transactions, each associated with a respective server application, is automatically identified (col.3, lines 1-29).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Navarre for *selecting an ASP* in order to provide a means for efficiently executing a transaction request from a client application by an appropriate server application.

- 5. As to claim 2, Navarre teaches receiving a user identifier from the user, the method further comprising: determining whether a document profile exists for the user identifier received; and sending to the user a document manager interface screen based on the determination of whether a document profile exists (col.2, lines 26-49 and col.4, lines 47-60).
- 6. As to claim 3, Navarre teaches determining whether a document profile exists comprises determining that a document profile does exist by locating a document profile associated with the user identifier in a memory which is local to a processing device which performs the step of determining whether a document profile exists (col.2, lines 26-49 and col.4, lines 47-60).

- Application/Control Number: 09/839,263 Page 4

Art Unit: 2126

7. **As to claim 4,** Navarre teaches step of sending a document manager interface screen comprises sending an interface screen including a document profile menu that lists the document profile located in the memory (col.4, lines 47-60 and fig.4).

- 8. As to claim 5, Navarre teaches receiving a document profile selection from the user via the communications network based on a user input to the document profile menu (col.4, lines 47-60).
- 9. **As to claim 6,** Navarre teaches of selecting an ASP comprises selecting a basic ASP using the document profile selection received (col.2, lines 26-49 and col.3, lines 1-29).
- 10. As to claim 7, Navarre teaches the step of selecting a basic ASP comprises: determining a document type using the document profile selection received; and selecting the basic ASP that performs services utilized with the document type (col.2, lines 26-49 and col.3, lines 1-29).
- 11. As to claim 8, Navarre teaches the step of determining whether a document profile exists comprises determining that a document profile does not exist by searching a memory which is local to a processing device which performs the step of determining whether a document profile exists (col.2, lines 26-49 and col.4, lines 47-60).
- 12. **As to claim 9,** Navarre teaches the step of sending a document manager interface screen comprises sending an interface screen including a document type menu (col.4, lines 47-60).
- 13. **As to claim 10,** Navarre teaches receiving a document type selection from the user via the communications network based on a user input to the document type menu (col.4, line 47-col.5, line15).

- Application/Control Number: 09/839,263

Art Unit: 2126

- 14. **As to claim 11**, Navarre teaches the step of selecting an ASP comprises selecting a basic ASP that performs typical services utilized with the document type selection received (col.3, lines 1-29 and col.5, line 3-15).
- 15. **As to claim 12,** Navarre teaches creating a document profile based on the document type selection received; and storing the document profile in association with a user identifier in a local memory (col.4, lines 47-60 and fig.4).
- 16. As to claim 13, Navarre teaches the step of receiving an ASP transaction request comprises receiving a document consultation request from the user (col.2, line 66-col.3, line 29).
- 17. **As to claim 14,** Navarre teaches sending, in response to receiving the document consultation request, a document manager interface screen including a document type menu to the user via the communications network; generating a document type selection from a user input to the document type menu; and receiving the document type selection via the communications network (col.2, line 66-col.3, line 29 and col.4, lines 47-60).
- 18. **As to claim 15**, Navarre teaches the step of selecting an ASP comprises selecting a basic ASP that performs services utilized with the document type selection received (col.2, lines 26-49 and col.3, lines 1-29).
- 19. **As to claim 16**, Navarre teaches creating a document profile based on the document type selection received; and storing the document profile in association with a user identifier in a memory (col.4, lines 47-60 and fig.4).
- 20. **As to claim 17**, Navarre teaches selecting a special service ASP for providing special services independently offered by an ASP (col.3, lines 1-45).

- Application/Control Number: 09/839,263

Art Unit: 2126

21. As to claim 18, Navarre teaches receiving a request for a special service from the user

via the communications network; and locating in a memory, a special ASP associated with the

special service request received (col.3, lines 1-45 and col.4, lines 1-23).

22. As to claim 19, Navarre teaches receiving an ASP transaction request from the user and

transmitting instructions for performing the transaction requested comprise transferring data via

the Internet (col.3, lines 1-11).

23. As to claim 20, a computer readable medium containing program instructions is inherent

to the system of Navarre.

24. Claims 40-55 are directed to a system for performing the method of claims 1-16, and are

similarly rejected under the same rationale.

25. Claim 21 is also directed to a system for performing the method of claim 1, and is

similarly rejected under the same rationale. Claim 21, however, further recites a memory device

and a processor.

Navarre teaches a memory device and a processor (fig. 1).

26. As to claims 22-39, note the rejection of claims 2-19 above. Claims 22-39 are the same

as claims 2-19, except claims 22-39 are system claims and claims 2-19 are method claims.

Conclusion

27. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

- Lagarde et al.

U.S. 5761663

issued date: 06/1998

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/839,263

Art Unit: 2126

U.S. 5878143 issued date: 03/1999 - Moore

- Fields et al. U.S. 6128655 issued date: 10/2000

U.S. 6151624 issued date: 11/2000 - Teare et al.

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VAN H NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 306-5971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM - 6:00PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternative Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9000.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: **Commissioner for Patents** PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### or fax to:

- (703) 746-7239 (for formal communications intended for entry)
- (703) 746-7238 (for After Final communications)
- (703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications)

**VHN** 

January 25, 2004

MENG-AL T. AN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 7